



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D.	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,132	02/28/20	002	Haiyin He	ACY-33,316-D3	3248
25291	7590 0	04/15/2004		EXAM	INER
WYETH PATENT LAW GROUP			RILEY,	JEZIA	
FIVE GIRALI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON, N	NJ 07940			1637	
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•
I
9

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/086,132	HE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jezia Riley	1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

Stati	JS
-------	----

earne	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
2a)⊠ 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>2-6,8-12,29-33,39-43,55,56,60 and 62</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-6, 8-12, 29-33, 39-43, 55, 56, 60, and 62</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	on Papers
10) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment	(s)
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) station Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

1. Applicants' arguments and amendments, filed on 3/3/2004, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-6, 8, 9-12, 29-33, 39-43, 55, 56, 60, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the chemotherapeutic agents disclosed in the instant claims 3, 5, or 55 for example, does not reasonably provide enablement for the employment of any chemotherapeutic agents and any chemosensitizing reversal agents employed in the instant rejected claimed method. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1637

4. Applicants argue that the invention is enable based on the working examples as stated in Tables 7, 10, and 14 page 30 where it is disclosed specific compositions. However instant claim1, for example is broadly claiming a method using any chemotherapeutic agents and any chemosensitizing reversal agents. In the instant application, "a chemotherapeutic agent" and a "chemosensitizing reversal agent", recited in the instant claims are purely functional distinction. Hence, these functional recitations read on any compounds that might have the recited functions. Claims employing functional language neither provide those elements required to practice the inventions nor inform the public. The claims do not provide guidance as to medicaments employed, or levels for providing therapeutic benefit, for example. Therefore the instant claims are an invitation to experiment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 2, 4-6, 8-10, 29-31, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe et al. (British Journal of Cancer, 1995, 72, pages 418-423).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,132

Art Unit: 1637

7. Claims 2, 4-6, 8-10, 29-31, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greenberger et al (Oncology Research, Vol. 8, No. 5, pp. 207-218, 1996).

8. Applicants argue that the references do not suggest the instant application.

Abe et al. discloses method of determining chemosensitization of spontaneous multidrug resistance in human cancer cells exhibiting such resistance comprising administering an effective amount of chemosensitizing reversal agent in combination with a chemotherapeutic agent such as doxorubicin

Greenberger recites chemosensitizing agent that restored sensitivity to drugs. Such agents resensitized drug-resistant tumors to vinblastine or doxorubicin in an ascitic or solid tumor model respectively. The instant claims are broadly directed to any type of compositions as discussed under 112 rejection above. Although the instant claims recite nonP-gp /nonMRP, the recitation of doxorubicin suggests that the references inherently practice the instant invention as claimed.

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1637

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 571-272-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wednesday, April 14, 2004

/ **JEZIA RILEY** PRIMARY EXAMINES